IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3716 OF 2002

Reliance Industries Limited & anr.... Petitioners

versus

State of Maharashtra & ors. .... Respondents.

ALOGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4711 OF 2005

Somaiya Organo Chemicals Ltd. .... Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 558 OF 2002

Hindoostan Spinning & Weaving
Mills Ltd. and anr. .... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 652 OD 2001

M/s. Eurotex Industries and Exports
Limited & anr. .... Petitioners.

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2604 OF 2001

M/s. Amit Spinning Industries
LImited and another.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2849 OF 2001

M/s. Lupin Chemicals Ltd. ... Petitioner.

verus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3005 OF 2001

M/s. Pudumjee Pulp & Paper
limited and ors. ..... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3006 OF 2001

Indo Count Industries Ltd. & ors. ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4847 OF 2001

Godawat Pan Masala Products
(I) Pvt. Ltd. & ors. ..... Petitioners.

versus

The State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4848 OF 2001

Carbon Everflow Limited & ors ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 380 OF 2002

Nagreeka Exports Limited & ors. ..Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 864 OF 2002

Herdillia Chemicals Limited & anr.... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1295 OF 2002

M/s. Standard Industries Ltd. & anr. .. Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1336 OF 2002

M/s. Mukund Limited & anr. ... Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra .... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1636 OF 2002

M/s. Ganil Era Textiles Ltd. ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2940 OF 2002

Soma Textiles & Industries
Limited & anr. .... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

ALONGWITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 3132 OF 2002

Jindal Polyster ltd. & anr. .... Petitioner.

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent.

Shri C. Balsare i/b. A.S.Dayal & Associates for the petitioner in W.P.3716/02.

Shri Amit S. Potnis i/b. Tanhane & Co. for the petitioner in W.P.Nos. 380/02, 864/02, 3005/01, 3006/01, 3132/02, 4847/01 & 4848/01.

Shri F. Sayyed i/b. M.K.Ambalal & Co. for the petitioner in W.P. No.558/02.

Shri Nooroddin Dhila i/b. M/s. Hariyani & Co. for the petitioner in W.P.no. 4711/05.

Shri S,.J.Shah i/b H. Jagatiani & Co. for the petitioner in W.P.Nos. 652/01, 1295/02, 1336/02, 1636/02, 2604/01 & 2849/02.

Shri R.Chitnis i/b V.Deshpande & Co. for the

petitioner in W.P.No. 2940/02.

Shri S.R.Nargolkar AGP for Respondent no.1 in all matters.

CORAM; V.G. PALSHIKAR &
V.M. KANADE, JJ.

DATED; 6TH JUNE, 2006.

P.C:

1. By all these petitions, the petitioners have challenged the action of the respondent in charging them for guaranteed electric supply at an enhanced rate after having invited them to have captive power plant for generating electricity for their needs.

2. The concessions granted in this regard was withdrawn by the State and therefore, the present petitions challenging that action were filed. During the pendency of these petitions the concessions granted earlier have been restored.

3. Therefore, the only grievance which may be made is regarding payment of the enhanced rates during the period when the concession stood reduced or withdrawn. If such greivance does exist the petitioners who have such grievance, will make a representation in that regard to the respondent State, as also to the Electricity Board and if it is so made, they shall decide the same as early as possible preferably in four weeks. The interim order granted earlier to continue

till the decision of the representation by the Respondents. Petitions accordingly disposed of.

....